## TRIAL REPORT

at does not include language allowing a aching party to recover attorney fees for ressfully defending a claim for actual mages. There is also no language requiranon-breaching party, who fails to blish actual damages, to forego reasonattorney fees incurred in establishing a ch. Therefore, we find that the arbitratinerpretation allowing recovery of the respective of the party was not a conable one.

Consequently, the arbitrator exceeded uthority by considering each company's is as a prevailing party in determining rney fees and ultimately concluding that are, as the non-breaching party, could recover attorney fees. We therefore dise with RenoSys's characterization of this e as a mere legal error for which there is ight to judicial review. While the legal position is sound, it simply does not y in this case for the reason that the parlimited the arbitrator's authority coning attorney fees by including a feeing provision within the agreement. See City of E. Chicago, Ind. v. E. Chicago n of Teachers, Local No. 511, A.F.T., 422 2d 656, 662 (Ind. Ct. App. 1981) ...." sased on the foregoing, we find that the

counsel of record for certain plaintiffs in a conal injury lawsuit in Jasper Superior t. As counsel of record, he personally was ved in the initial case management conferand also personally appeared at the final rial conference. However, he did not perly attend to or handle certain case activihat occurred between those two events, ding depositions of witnesses. Nor did he d the mediation session that eventually d in the final settlement of the case, her lawyer in his office appeared for him thalf of his clients.

court erred by denying Natare's Motion

acate or Modify the arbitrator's award.

e lawyer who did appear and represent the s at both the deposition and the mediation n was Nick Zotos. He is a lawyer authoro practice law in Michigan and also admitpractice before the U.S. District Court for orthern District of Indiana and before the Circuit Court of Appeals. But, he is not orized to practice law in Indiana. Zotos had ously worked for a Chicago law firm where ndled depositions and discovery matters e firm throughout the country. He did this he was only licensed to practice law in igan. Hughes was "well aware of Zoto's ntials and employment history at the time ed him and allowed him to engage in the rial activities mentioned," according to documents.

ghes listed Zotos on the firm's letterhead e of the three "Attorneys at Law" without a ictional limitation beside his name, and eeting message on the law office's answerarchine listed Zotos as one of the office's The arbitrator exceeded his power by considering each company's status as a prevailing party in determining attorney fees and ultimately concluding that Natare, as the non-breaching party, could not recover attorney fees. As a result, the issue of a reasonable attorney fee, to which Natare was entitled, was not submitted to or considered by the arbitrator. Given this circumstance and that the arbitrator's award can be corrected without affecting the merits, we remand with instruction for the Arbitrator to determine Natare's attorney's fees.

"We reverse and remand for proceedings consistent with this opinion."

## TRIAL REPORT

■ Trial Reports: Reports on recent Indiana cases from the lawyers and judges involved.

## **Automobile collision**

David Sullivan v. Anonymous Trucking Co. (anonymous per confidentiality agreement)
Pre-suit mediation

Injuries: Hip reconstruction with subsequent hip replacement and ankle fracture Date: April 13, 2005

Judge: Pre-suit mediation conducted by Kent Stewart, The Mediation Group

Disposition: Settled at pre-suit mediation for \$700,000

Plaintiff Attorney: Steven M. Crell, Cohen Garelick & Glazier

Defendant Attorney: Thomas S. Ehrhardt, Bokota Ehrhardt McCloskey Wilson & Conover

Insurance: ACE Insurance Co., administered by Galagher Bassett Services

Case Information: David Sullivan was struck in his automobile by a waste-hauling truck, causing serious injuries to his hip and ankle. An attempt to reconstruct the hip was unsuccessful so a subsequent hip replacement was necessary. Repair of the ankle fracture was delayed to allow Sullivan to remain ambulatory so the hip replacement could properly heal.

Sullivan's work as a security guard was affected by his injuries. The restrictions on his mobility caused the loss of his deputy certification, and he consequently had to take work assignments for which such certification is not required. He will likely never regain the certification, which requires him to pass a rigorous agility test, and thus Sullivan claims a permanent loss to his earning capacity.

Sullivan's medical expenses were approximately \$125,000, and his lost wages were approximately \$17,500.

This case is a great example of the value of pre-suit mediation and other alternative dispute resolution mechanisms. Counsel and the parties worked long and hard with the mediator to reach a fair compromise of the claim, without the need for costly and time-consuming litigation. Attorneys should be encouraged to explore settlement options before filing suit, even in large and seeming complicated claims. Such an approach not only saves time and money for the parties involved, but also assists in keeping the courts open for those who need them and helps promote a more positive image of the legal profession.•

- Steven M. Crell

